

Exhibit 16

Memorandum from Stephen D. Page, Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Re: Guidance Concerning the Implementation of the 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS for the PSD Program (June 29, 2010)

Further clarification of this guidance and application of Appendix W for the 1-hour NO₂ standard was published March 1, 2011 and is available in the Region 7 NSR Policy & Guidance database.

http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/nsr/nsrmemos/appwno2_2.pdf



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

JUN 29 2010

OFFICE OF
AIR QUALITY PLANNING
AND STANDARDS

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Guidance Concerning the Implementation of the 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS for the Prevention of Significant Deterioration Program

FROM: Stephen D. Page, Director *Stephen D. Page*
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

TO: Regional Air Division Directors

On January 22, 2010, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced a new 1-hour nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (hereinafter, either the 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS or 1-hour NO₂ standard) of 100 parts per billion (ppb), which is attained when the 3-year average of the 98th-percentile of the annual distribution of daily maximum 1-hour concentrations does not exceed 100 ppb at each monitor within an area. EPA revised the primary NO₂ NAAQS to provide the requisite protection of public health. The final rule for the new 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS was published in the Federal Register on February 9, 2010 (75 FR 6474), and the standard became effective on April 12, 2010. EPA policy provides that any federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit issued under 40 CFR 52.21 on or after that effective date must contain a demonstration of source compliance with the new 1-hour NO₂ standard.

EPA is aware of reports from stakeholders indicating that some sources—both existing and proposed—are modeling potential violations of the 1-hour NO₂ standard. In many cases, the affected units are emergency electric generators and pump stations, where short stacks and limited property rights exist. However, larger sources, including coal-fired and natural gas-fired power plants, refineries, and paper mills, could also model potential violations of the new NO₂ NAAQS.

To respond to these reports and facilitate the PSD permitting of new and modified major stationary sources, we are issuing the attached guidance, in the form of two memoranda, for implementing the new 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS under the PSD permit program. The guidance contained in the attached memoranda addresses two areas. The first memorandum, titled, "General Guidance for Implementing the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard in Prevention of Significant Deterioration Permits, Including an Interim 1-hour NO₂ Significant Impact Level," includes guidance for the preparation and review of PSD permits with respect to the new 1-hour NO₂ standard. This guidance memorandum sets forth a recommended interim 1-hour NO₂ significant impact level (SIL) that states may consider when carrying out the required

PSD air quality analysis for NO₂, until EPA promulgates a 1-hour NO₂ SIL via rulemaking. The second memorandum, titled "Applicability of Appendix W Modeling Guidance for the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard," includes specific modeling guidance for estimating ambient NO₂ concentrations and determining compliance with the new 1-hour NO₂ standard.

This guidance does not bind state and local governments and the public as a matter of law. Nevertheless, we believe that state and local air agencies and industry will find this guidance useful when carrying out the PSD permit process. We believe it will provide a consistent approach for estimating NO₂ air quality impacts from proposed construction or modification of NO_x emissions sources. For the most part, the attached guidance reiterates existing policy and guidance, but focuses on how this information is relevant to implementation of the new 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS.

Please review the guidance included in the two attached memoranda. If you have questions regarding the general implementation guidance contained in the first memorandum, please contact Raj Rao (rao.raj@epa.gov). If you have questions regarding the modeling guidance in the second memorandum, please contact Tyler Fox (fox.tyler@epa.gov). We are continuing our efforts to address permitting issues related to NO₂ and other NAAQS including the recently-signed 1-hour sulfur dioxide NAAQS. We plan to issue additional guidance to address these new 1-hour standards in the near future.

Attachments:

1. Memorandum from Anna Marie Wood, Air Quality Policy Division, to EPA Regional Air Division Directors, "General Guidance for Implementing the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard in Prevention of Significant Deterioration Permits, Including an Interim 1-hour NO₂ Significant Impact Level" (June 28, 2010).
2. Memorandum from Tyler Fox, Air Quality Modeling Group, to EPA Regional Air Division Directors, "Applicability of Appendix W Modeling Guidance for the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard" (June 28, 2010).

cc: Anna Marie Wood
Richard Wayland
Raj Rao
Tyler Fox
Dan deRoeck
Roger Brode
Rich Ossias
Elliott Zenick
Brian Doster

concentrations for comparison to the NAAQS in a cumulative modeling analysis. As noted in the March 23, 2010 memorandum regarding “Modeling Procedures for Demonstrating Compliance with PM_{2.5} NAAQS” (EPA, 2010b), combining the 98th percentile monitored value with the 98th percentile modeled concentrations for a cumulative impact assessment could result in a value that is below the 98th percentile of the combined cumulative distribution and would, therefore, not be protective of the NAAQS. However, unlike the recommendations presented for PM_{2.5}, the modeled contribution to the cumulative ambient impact assessment for the 1-hour NO₂ standard should follow the form of the standard based on the 98th percentile of the annual distribution of daily maximum 1-hour concentrations averaged across the number of years modeled. A “first tier” assumption that may be applied without further justification is to add the overall highest hourly background NO₂ concentration from a representative monitor to the modeled design value, based on the form of the standard, for comparison to the NAAQS. Additional refinements to this “first tier” approach based on some level of temporal pairing of modeled and monitored values may be considered on a case-by-case basis, with adequate justification and documentation.

DISCUSSION OF TECHNICAL ISSUES

While many of the same technical issues related to application of Appendix W guidance for an annual NO₂ standard would also apply in the context of the new 1-hour NO₂ standard, there are some important differences that may also need to be considered depending on the specific application. This section discusses several aspects of these technical issues related to the new 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS, including a discussion of source emission inventories required for modeling demonstrations of compliance with the NAAQS and other issues specific to each of the three tiers identified in Section 5.2.4 of Appendix W for NO₂ modeling.

Emission Inventories

The source emissions data are a key input for all modeling analyses and one that may require additional considerations under the new 1-hour NO₂ standard is the source emissions data. Section 8.1 of Appendix W provides guidance regarding source emission input data for dispersion modeling and Table 8-2 summarizes the recommendations for emission input data that should be followed for NAAQS compliance demonstrations. Although existing NO_x emission inventories used to support modeling for compliance with the annual NO₂ standard should serve as a useful starting point, such inventories may not always be adequate for use in assessing compliance with the new 1-hour NO₂ standard since some aspects of the guidance in Section 8.1 differs for long-term (annual and quarterly) standards vs. short-term (≤ 24 hours) standards. In particular, since maximum ground-level concentrations may be more sensitive to operating levels and startup/shutdown conditions for an hourly standard than for an annual standard, emission rates and stack parameters associated with the maximum ground-level concentrations for the annual standard may underestimate maximum concentrations for the new 1-hour NO₂ standard. Due to the importance of in-stack NO₂/NO_x ratios required for application of the OLM and PVMRM options within AERMOD discussed above, consideration should also be given to the potential variability of in-stack NO₂/NO_x ratios under different operating conditions when those non-regulatory-default options are applied. We also note that source emission input data recommendations in Table 8-2 of Appendix W for “nearby sources” and “other sources” that

may be needed to conduct a cumulative impact assessment include further differences between emission data for long-term vs. short-term standards which could also affect the adequacy of existing annual NO_x emission inventories for the new 1-hour NO₂ standard. The terms “nearby sources” and “other sources” used in this context are defined in Section 8.2.3 of Appendix W. Attachment A provides a more detailed discussion on determining NO_x emissions for permit modeling.

While Section 8.2.3 of Appendix W emphasizes the importance of professional judgment by the reviewing authority in the identification of nearby and other sources to be included in the modeled emission inventory, Appendix W establishes “a significant concentration gradient in the vicinity of the source” under consideration as the main criterion for this selection. Appendix W also indicates that “the number of such [nearby] sources is expected to be small except in unusual situations.” See Section 8.2.3.b. Since concentration gradients will vary somewhat depending on the averaging period being modeled, especially for an annual vs. 1-hour standard, the criteria for selection of “nearby” and “other” sources for inclusion in the modeled inventory may need to be reassessed for the 1-hour NO₂ standard.

The representativeness of available ambient air quality data also plays an important role in determining which nearby sources should be included in the modeled emission inventory. Key issues to consider in this regard are the extent to which ambient air impacts of emissions from nearby sources are reflected in the available ambient measurements, and the degree to which emissions from those background sources during the monitoring period are representative of allowable emission levels under the existing permits. The professional judgments that are required in developing an appropriate inventory of background sources should strive toward the proper balance between adequately characterizing the potential for cumulative impacts of emission sources within the study area to cause or contribute to violations of the NAAQS, while minimizing the potential to overestimate impacts by double-counting of modeled source impacts that are also reflected in the ambient monitoring data. We would also caution against the literal and uncritical application of very prescriptive procedures for identifying which background sources should be included in the modeled emission inventory for NAAQS compliance demonstrations, such as those described in Chapter C, Section IV.C.1 of the draft *New Source Review Workshop Manual* (EPA, 1990), noting again that Appendix W emphasizes the importance of professional judgment in this process. While the draft workshop manual serves as a useful general reference regarding New Source Review (NSR) and PSD programs, and such procedures may play a useful role in defining the spatial extent of sources whose emissions may need to be considered, it should be recognized that “[i]t is not intended to be an official statement of policy and standards and does not establish binding regulatory requirements.” See, Preface.

Given the range of issues involved in the determination of an appropriate inventory of emissions to include in a cumulative impact assessment, the appropriate reviewing authority should be consulted early in the process regarding the selection and proper application of appropriate monitored background concentrations and the selection and appropriate characterization of modeled background source emission inventories for use in demonstrating compliance with the new 1-hour NO₂ standard.

Tier-specific Technical Issues